

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PACE OF A BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,532	06/26/2003	Gregory Zaslavsky	02-1-852	5759
7590 01/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert F. Clar		LEURIG, SHARLENE L		
OSRAM SYLVANIA Inc. 100 Endicott Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danvers, MA 01923			2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1400		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/606,532	ZASLAVSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharlene Leurig	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (- - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>26 <i>June 2003</i></u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Interest of the specific properties. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection. 	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). For a list of the certified copies not a domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. In the first sentence of the specifical uage provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) stion or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	0-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,532 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8-16 are rejected because claim 8, on which claims 9-16 depend, recites "first and second ends of each of said plural wires extending beyond ends of said

ceramic core and being twisted together" in the last lines of the claim. It is unclear

whether the wires are twisted together so that the first ends of each of the plural wires

are twisted together and the second ends of the plural wires are twisted together, or if

the first ends and the second ends are twisted together.

Claims 17-22 are rejected because claim 17, on which claims 18-22 depend, recites "ends of each of said plural wires extending beyond ends of the core and are twisted together to form an electrode tip". It is unclear whether both ends of the wires are twisted together to form a single electrode tip, or whether opposite ends are separately twisted together to form more than one electrode tip.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,532 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Munn (3,592,958).

Regarding claim 1, Munn discloses an electrical feed-through comprising an elongate core (Figure 8, element 256) having a plurality of grooves (254, 252) extending in a longitudinal direction in an exterior surface of the core, and a plurality of separate electrically conductive wires (212, 216) each extending in a different one of the plural grooves, ends of each of the plural wires extending beyond ends of the core and at least one of the ends of the plural wires being twisted together (Figure 5). The limitation of the electrical feed-through being for a discharge lamp is a statement of intended use, and therefore is not given any patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,532

Art Unit: 2879

6. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munn (3,592,958) in view of Gukelberger, Jr. et al. (3,903,324).

Munn discloses an electrical feed-through having a plurality of wires electrically spliced to other wires (column 5, lines 32-34), but lacks disclosure of the material used for the wires.

Gukelberger, Jr. et al. teaches that molybdenum is a good electrical conductor because of its low resistivity (column 1, lines 14-15).

Therefore regarding claims 2 and 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Munn's wires to be made of molybdenum in order to provide a wire of a material having good electrical conductivity, as taught by Gukelberger.

Regarding claim 3, the plural wires are twisted, as shown in Figure 5, and are attached to other wires, that can be considered lead wires (column 5, lines 32-34).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munn (3,592,958).

Munn discloses an electrical feed-through having a plurality of grooves formed in an elongate core, but lacks disclosure of the number of grooves.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Munn's electrical feed-through to have six grooves formed in the elongate core, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are

Application/Control Number: 10/606,532

Art Unit: 2879

disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Munn's elongate core to have six grooves in order to support six wires, as it has been held to be within the ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum range of wires and grooves.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. If the applicant wishes to review a former publication on an electrical feed-through having an elongate core with a plurality of grooves, and a plurality of wires in each of the grooves, the wires extending beyond the core and being twisted together, Japanese patent publication 53-26981 is cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharlene Leurig whose telephone number is (703)305-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703)305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7382.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,532

Art Unit: 2879

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Sharlene Leurig

82

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CETTER 2800